Econstruct Design And Buld Pvt Ltd. PRESENTS



ANUBHAVA MANTAPA





Project

Introduction

Location:

The project is spread across 25-30 acres on the bank of Tipranta Lake in Basavakalyan, Bidar district located at North-Eastern part of Karnataka.

Landmark:



Patil Hospital

Proximity:



Bidar Railway St. 1hr. 57min - 77.4kms.



Bidar airport 1hr. 41min - 75kms.



Bidar



Project Information

Project Type

Monumental Building

No of floors

G+4 Building with Central Dome & Petals

Typical Floor Height

4.5 meters

Rebars

Total Height of the Building

50.78 meters (Including Dome – Inner Ring) 22.5 meters (Middle Ring)

Width of the Building

68.5 meters (Inner Ring) 152.56 meters (Middle Ring)

Grade of Concrete

M50

Fe 550D





Site Layout with Building Footprint Marked



Indicating building location and lake in vicinity

Elevation & Sections - Anubhava Mantapa



EAST ELEVATION



SECTION TAKEN ALONG SUMP TANK & OVERHEAD TANK

3D-Views Of Anubhava Mantapa













Project Features

























2D- Floor Plans







First Floor Plan

QM1, QM4, QM5, QM8 : Elevated O.A.T

QM4 & QM8 : Reception room, Baggage store room & Reception room Е QM6 & QM7 : Evolution Museum (open Air) R

Μ QM1: Master AV room, Gents & Ladies Washroom QM2 : CCTV Camera QM3 : Server Internet Room D QM4 : Reception room, Baggage store room & Reception room D QM6 & QM7 :Ladies & Gents Staff room ь. QM8 : Global Solution room, Gents & ladies Washrooms Ε

QR1, QR3 & QR5: Services Ν **QR2** : Conference Hall Ν **QR4** : Administrative Block Е **QR6** : Maintenance Room R

0

U

т

QM1, QM4, QM5, QM8 : AV room, Gents & Ladies Washroom QM2,QM3,QM6 & QM7 : AV room

QR1, QR2 & QR3 : Science Museum QR4, QR5 & QR6 : Science Museum QM1, QM4, QM5, QM8 : AV room, Gents & Ladies Washroom QM2,QM3,QM6 & QM7 : AV room

QR1, QR2 & QR3 : Audio - Visual Room QR4, QR5 & QR6 : Audio – Visual Room



e-construct.in

Second Floor Plan

QM1& QM5: Reception Room, Baggage store room & Security Check QM2 & QM3 : History museum (open air)

Ground Floor Plan

2D- Floor Plans





Third Floor Plan

- QM1 & QM2: Sadachara Theme Area
 QM3 & QM4 : Shivachara Theme Area
 QM5 & QM6 : Lingachara Theme Area
 QM7 & QM8 : Bhrathychara Theme Area
- I N N E R

QR1, QR3 & QR5: Education Room

QR2, QR4 & QR6: Meditation Room



Fourth Floor Lower Level Plan



Fourth Floor Upper Level Plan

QR1 ,QR3 & QR5 : 7D theater (screen at ceiling)

QR1,QR3 & QR5: 7D AV theater

QR1,QR3 & QR5: 7D theater (screen at ceiling)

QR1,QR3 & QR5: 7D AV theater

Structural Details

2D Structural Framing Plans





Inner Ring Modelling in ETABS





Mathematical Model 3D View



Mathematical Model Rendered View

Middle Ring Modelling in ETABS





Mathematical Model Rendered View

Mathematical Model 3D View

3D Structure Model





FOUNDATION DETAILS :

DROP : 900mm / 1100mm

RAFT FOUNDATION : 900mm / 1100mm

PCC (M30) : 150mm RUBBLE MASONRY : 300mm

(40mm + 20mm + 10mm+4mm + M30 Self compacted Micro-concreting)



COLUMN DETAILS :



e-construct.in

RCC SQUARE COLUMN 900 MM X 900MM



	the state of the second s	No. of Concession, Name
		and the state of t
	12	at the second second second
	man all a set	A CONTRACT OF A
	and the second s	a high hard a set of a bar
	and a start of the start of the	and the set is a first star back the set of the
	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	the second se
	1. 2. 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	and the second sec
		and the second
	a state of the second state of the second	A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	the state of the state of the state of the
	the second se	the second s
	the start have been and	and the stand of the stand of the
	1	and the second of the second second second second
	and a set of state	and the second
	a second s	
	and the state of the	
	the set of the set of	
	14 1 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	and the state of the state of the state of the
	the second s	and the second of the second of a first second
the second second and the second s	a start and a start	and a state of a second and a second
	and a second	and the second
	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	and a first of the program is that first in the second second second second second second second second second
and the second	The second s	the second se
	the second s	AT A REAL PROPERTY OF A REAL
	and the second se	
		and the second se
the second s		the state of the s

BEAM DETAILS :

S. No	Description	Sizes	Material	Grade
1.	Beam	900x700	Concrete	M50
2.	Beam	600x700	Concrete	M50

SLAB DETAILS :

S. No	Description	Thickness	Material	Grade
1.	Slab	200	Concrete	M50
2.	Slab	250	Concrete	M50
3.	Slab	300	Concrete	M50

S. No	Description	Sizes	Material	Grade
1.	Circular Stone Column	900mm dia	Concrete	M50
2.	Circular Stone Column	1200mm dia	Concrete	M50
3.	RCC Column (Sqaure)	900mm	Concrete	M50
4.	Pedestal (Square)	1500mm	Concrete	M50

RETAINING WALL :

S. No	Description	Thickness	Material	Grade
1.	Retaining Wall	300	Concrete	M50

AREA LOADS:



S. No.	DESCRIPTION	DEAD LOAD (As Per IS875 Part 1 & Calculations)	LIVE LOAD (As Per IS875 Part 2, Table 1)	UNITS
1	All Slabs	5	5	kN/m²
2	Water Tank Load	15	0.75	kN/m²
3	Cladding Load	10	-	kN/m²

FRAME LOADS:

S. No.	DESCRIPTION	LOAD (As Per IS875 Part 1 & Calculations)	UNITS
1	Parapet (0.3m sill +1.2m pillar + 0.1m railing)	4	kN/m
2	Brick Wall (0.23m thick x 20 kN/m3 density x 3.6m clear height)	17	kN/m

Cracked Moment of Inertia - SMF



Table 6 Cracked RC Section Properties (*Clause* 7.2)

Sl	Structural	Un-factored Loads		Factored Loads		
No.	Element	Area	Area Moment of Inertia		Moment of Inertia	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
i)	Slabs	$1.0 A_{\rm g}$	0.35 <i>I</i> g	$1.00 A_{\rm g}$	0.25 Ig	
ii)	Beams	$1.0 A_{\rm g}$	$0.7 I_{ m g}$	$1.00 A_{\rm g}$	0.35 Ig	
iii)	Columns	$1.0 A_{\rm g}$	$0.9 I_{\rm g}$	$1.00 A_{\rm g}$	$0.70 I_{\rm g}$	
iv)	Walls	$1.0 A_{\rm g}$	$0.9 I_{\rm g}$	$1.00 A_{\rm g}$	$0.70 I_{\rm g}$	

Table 6 of IS 16700 - 2017

Seismic Analysis



Mass source from IS 1893:2016, Table 10, Clause 7.3.1

Mass Multiplier
1
0.5

STATIC BASE SHEAR

V_B = **A**_h**W** (As Per IS1893 Part-1: 2016; Cl. No. 6.4.2)



Where, A_h = Design horizontal acceleration coefficient || W = Seismic weight of the building

Z = Seismic zone factor (As per IS 1893:2016, Part-1, Table-3)

I = Importance Factor (As per IS 1893:2016, Part-1, Table-8)

R = Response reduction factor (As per IS 1893:2016, Part-1, Table-9)

 $\left(\frac{Sa}{g}\right)$ = Design acceleration coefficient

Fundamental Natural Time Period (Ta) = $\frac{0.09h}{\sqrt{d}}$ (As per IS 1893, Part-1, Clause 7.6.2)

Seismic Analysis



Inner Ring

Static Base Shear = 48886 kN





Seismic Dynamic Base Shear After Scaling in X and Y Direction – 48886 kN

Seismic Analysis



Middle Ring

Static Base Shear = 22397 kN





Seismic Dynamic Base Shear After Scaling in X and Y Direction – 22397 kN

Wind Analysis



Wind Exposure Parameters

Height of building considered to wind analysis (h) = 22.5 m

Greater horizontal dimension of building (I) = 152.56 m

Lesser horizontal dimension of building (w) = 152.56 m

BUILDING HEIGHT	BUILDING	ELEVATION	PLAN .	WIND	C	ps FOR	SURFA	CE	LOCAL Cpa
MIN	HAND			e	A	B	C	0	7////
<u>h_1</u>	1< 1/w 5 3/2			Degrees 0 90	+ 0.7 - 0.5	- 0.2 - 0.5	- 0.5 + 0.7	• 0.5 • 0.2	}-0.8
w = 2	3 1 1 44			0 90	+0.7 -0.5	- 0.25 - 0.5	- 0,6 + 0.7	-0.6 -0.1	}- 1.0

ſ	BUILDING HEIGHT	BUILDING PLAN	WIND	Cp FOR S	URFACE
	RATIO	RATIO RATIO ANGLE (8)		WINDWARD	LEEWARD
ſ	h (0.15	1/ 1	0	0.7	0.2
	n/w = 0.15	1/W = 1	90	0.7	0.2

(As per IS 875: 2015, Table No 5, Part 3, Clause 7.3.3.1)

Wind Analysis – Inner Ring



Wind Base Shear After Scaling in X and Y Direction are 822 kN & 821.82 kN respectively





Wind Analysis – Middle Ring





Wind Base Shear After Scaling in X and Y Direction are 1400 kN & 1400 kN respectively

Stability Checks

Inter-storey Drift Check - Inner Ring





Maximum inter-storey drift due to Specx is 0.00047 which is less than 0.004 hence ok



Maximum inter-storey drift due to Specx is 0.001516 which is less than 0.004 hence ok

Inter-storey Drift Check – Middle Ring

Ground

Max: (0.000733.3): Min: (0. Base





Maximum inter-storey drift due to Specx is 0.000741 which is less than 0.004 hence ok

Maximum inter-storey drift due to Specx is 0.000733 which is less than 0.004 hence ok

400

Drift. Unitless

480

560

640

720

800 E-6

320

160

240

Maximum Story Drifts

Deflection due to EQ – Inner Ring





Maximum storey displacement due to Specx is 5.523mm which is less than 90mm (H/250) hence ok

Maximum storey displacement due to Specx is 17.74 mm which is less than 90mm (H/250) hence ok



Deflection due to EQ – Middle Ring





Maximum storey displacement due to Specx is 12.795mm which is less than 90mm (H/250) hence



Maximum storey displacement due to Specx is 12.795mm which is less than 90mm (H/250) hence ok

Deflection due to Wind – Inner Ring



Maximum storey displacement due to Wind X is 0.092mm which is less than 45mm (H/500) hence ok

Maximum storey displacement due to Wind X is 0.07mm which is less than 45mm (H/500) hence ok

Deflection due to Wind – Middle Ring





Maximum storey displacement due to Wind X is 0.429mm which is less than 45mm (H/500) hence ok



Maximum storey displacement due to Wind X is 0.429mm which is less than 45mm (H/500) hence ok

Design Load Combinations



Definitions	Load Combinations
	1. 1.5DL + 1.5LL
D.L – Dead Load	2. $1.5DL \pm 1.5Wx$
L.L – Live Load	3. $1.5DL \pm 1.5Wy$
We Windlerdin V Dimetion	4. 1.5DL + 1.5SPECX
WX – Wind load in X Direction	5. 1.5DL + 1.5SPECY
Wy – Wind load in Y Direction	6. $1.2DL + 1.2LL \pm 1.2Wx$
SPECX – Seismic Load in X Direction (Dynamic)	7. $1.2DL + 1.2LL \pm 1.2Wy$
SDECV Sciencia Load in V Direction (Demonia)	8. 1.2DL + 1.2LL + 1.2SPECX
SPECY – Seismic Load in Y Direction (Dynamic)	9. 1.2DL + 1.2LL + 1.2SPECY
	10. $0.9DL \pm 1.5Wx$
	11. $0.9DL \pm 1.5Wy$
	12. 0.9DL + 1.5SPECX
	13. 0.9DL + 1.5SPECY
	14. DL + 1.5SRSS (Orthogonal)
	15. 1.2DL + 1.2LL + 1.2SRSS (Orthogonal)
	16. 0.9DL + 1.5SRSS (Orthogonal)

Creep Deflection (400 years)





Hence we need to go for pre-chambering of 25mm

FOUNDATION DESIGN

Foundation – Service Load Combinations



SR	COMBINATION	LIMIT STATES OF SERVICEABILITY					
NO.		DL	LL	WX	WY	SPECX	SPECY
1.	DL+LL	1.5	1.5	-	-	-	-
2.	DL	1.5					
3.	DL+SPECX	1.5	-	-	-	1.5	_
4.	DL+SPECY	1.5	-	-	-	-	1.5
5.	DL ±WX	1.5	-	±1.5	-	-	_
6.	DL±WY	1.5	-	-	±1.5	-	_
7.	DL+LL+SPECX	1.2	1.2	-	-	1.2	_
8.	DL+LL+SPECY	1.2	1.2	-	-	-	1.2
9.	DL+LL ±WX	1.2	1.2	±1.2	-	-	_
10.	DL+LL±WY	1.2	1.2	-	±1.2	-	-
11.	DL+SPECX	0.9	-	-	-	1.5	-
12.	DL+SPECY	0.9	-	-	-	-	1.5
13.	DL ±WX	0.9	-	±1.5	-	-	-
14.	DL±WY	0.9	-	-	±1.5	-	-

Foundation – Strength Load Combinations



SR	COMBINATION	LIMIT STATES OF SERVICEABILITY					
NO.		DL	LL	WX	WY	SPECX	SPECY
1.	DL+LL	1.5	1.5	-	-	-	-
2.	DL	1.5					
3.	DL+SPECX	1.5	-	-	-	1.5	-
4.	DL+SPECY	1.5	-	-	-	-	1.5
5.	$DL \pm WX$	1.5	-	±1.5	-	-	-
6.	DL±WY	1.5	-	-	±1.5	-	-
7.	DL+LL+SPECX	1.2	1.2	-	-	1.2	-
8.	DL+LL+SPECY	1.2	1.2	-	-	-	1.2
9.	DL+LL ±WX	1.2	1.2	±1.2	-	-	-
10.	DL+LL±WY	1.2	1.2	-	±1.2	-	-
11.	DL+SPECX	0.9	-	-	-	1.5	-
12.	DL+SPECY	0.9	-	-	-	-	1.5
13.	DL ±WX	0.9	-	±1.5	-	-	-
14.	DL±WY	0.9	-	-	±1.5	-	-

Foundation Details



1. Material Properties of Concrete

Grade of Concrete	E (N/mm ²⁾	Unit Wt. (kN/m ³⁾	Fc (N/mm ²⁾
M45	31622	25	45

2. Material Properties of Rebar

Grade of	E (N/mm ²)	Unit Wt.	Fy	Fu
Steel		(kN/m ³)	(N/mm ²⁾	(N/mm ²)
Fe550D	200000	76.97	550	545

3. Forces And Grouping f Footing

Initial sizes of the footing as per the manual calculations for better control

Foundation	MATI	DEPTH OF FOOTING		
Members	GRADE OF CONCRETE	GRADE OF STEEL	(mm)	
Raft	M45	Fe550D	900	
Drop	M45	Fe550D	900	

SBC of the soil as per soil report is 750 $kN\!/m^2$

Foundation - Inner Ring



Check for Ground Bearing Pressure



Check for Settlement



Maximum value for the GBP is coming 373 KN/m² for (Dead load + Live load) which is less than 750 kN/m² and it is safe Maximum settlement observed is 18.68 mm for Dead load + Live load which is safe as per the limit of 50 mm for the isolated footing

Foundation - Middle Ring



Check for Ground Bearing Pressure

Maximum value for the GBP is coming 157 KN/m² for (Dead load + Live load) which is less than 750 kN/m^2 and it is safe.

Check for Settlement



Maximum settlement observed is 7.77 mm for Dead load + Live load which is safe as per the limit of 50 mm for the isolated footing.

Foundation - Inner Ring



Stress Checks

Check for One-way Stress of Concrete as the S13 and S23 (for the service envelope) at the bottom face of the footing

Check as per the S13 criteria. (Maximum) Allowable Punching Shear Stress = $0.16x\sqrt{fck} = 1.073$ for M45 Max. Punching Shear Stress (S13) at d/2 = 0.76

imum)Check as per the S23 criteria (Maximum)1.073 for M45Allowable Punching Shear Stress = $0.16x\sqrt{fck} = 1.073$ for M45

Max. Punching Shear Stress (S23) at d/2 = 0.3



Foundation - Middle Ring



Stress Checks

Check for One-way Stress of Concrete as the S13 and S23 (for the service envelope) at the bottom face of the footing



Check as per the S23 criteria (Maximum)

Allowable Punching Shear Stress = $0.16x\sqrt{fck}$ = 1.073 for M45 Max. Punching Shear Stress (S23) at d/2 = 0.3





Foundation - Inner Ring

Reinforcement Details - Bottom

Changing Dead Load and Live Load case to non-linear (Cracked) for Bottom Steel





Bottom Direction 1 & 2 – T25 @ 150 c/c + Extra T25 @ 125 c/c (at some places)





Reinforcement Details - Bottom

Changing Dead Load and Live Load case to non-linear (Cracked) for Bottom Steel





Bottom Direction 1 & 2 – T25 @ 150 c/c + Extra 3000 mm2/m

Foundation - Inner Ring



Reinforcement Details - Top

Changing Dead Load and Live Load case to Non-linear Uplift for top steel.





Top Direction 1 & 2 – T20 @ 150 c/c + Extra T20 @ 125 c/c (at some places)

Foundation – Middle Ring



Reinforcement Details - Top

Changing Dead Load and Live Load case to Non-linear Uplift for top steel.





Top Direction 1 & 2 – T20 @ 150 c/c + Extra 2000 mm2/m

Foundation – Punching Shear Checks



As per clause 35.3.2. of IS456:2000

- a. The surface width of the cracks should not, in general, exceed 0.3 mm in members where cracking is not harmful and does not have any serious adverse effects upon the preservation of reinforcing steel nor upon the durability of the structures.
- b. In members where cracking in the tensile zone is harmful either because they are exposed to the effects of the weather or continuously exposed to moisture or in contact soil or ground water, an upper limit of 0.2 mm is suggested for the maximum width of cracks.
- c. Here we have considered 0.3mm as per (a)

Foundation – Punching Shear Checks







MEP DETAILS



QM-2 3DView

QM-2 3DSection

Electrical Services









Plumbing Services



Fire-Fighting Services



Sun-Path For One Day



One day Sun path from East to West- Sun light as per the given timing at 10-30 AM



Solar PV Analysis For Project









